

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				•	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/044,743	01/11/2002	John W. Ladd	4584.2US (00-0787.2) 3846		
24247 75	90 07/25/2003				
TRASK BRITT			EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2550 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110			CHANG, RICK KILTAE		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3729	0	
			DATE MAILED: 07/25/2003	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	_					,			
		Applicat	ion No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/044,7	'43		LADD, JOHN W.	$\langle M \rangle$			
		Examine	r		Art Unit				
		Rick K. C			3729				
<i> The M.</i> Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on th	e cover s	sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	'ess			
THE MAILING - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON - If the period for re - If NO period for re - Failure to reply we - Any reply receive	ED STATUTORY PERIOD F is DATE OF THIS COMMUNI is may be available under the provisions NTHS from the mailing date of this comn eply specified above is less than thirty (3 eply is specified above, the maximum st ithin the set or extended period for reply d by the Office later than three months a m adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no enunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the ap	vent, howeve atutory minim will expire SI plication to b	er, may a reply be tim num of thirty (30) days X (6) MONTHS from pecome ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this como O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
1)⊠ Respo	nsive to communication(s) fi	led on <u>06 May 2003</u>							
2a)☐ This ac	ction is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is	s non-fina	al.					
	his application is in condition in accordance with the practaims					merits is			
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the	application.							
4a) Of th	ne above claim(s) <u>10</u> is/are v	vithdrawn from consi	deration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 11-20</u> is/are rejecte	ed.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
) are subject to restric	ction and/or election	requirem	ent.					
Application Pape									
	cification is objected to by the		_						
	ving(s) filed on is/are:		•	-					
	int may not request that any obj	= -	-	•	• •				
	osed drawing correction filed oved, corrected drawings are re-	•	• •	<i>,</i> —	ved by the Examiner.				
	or declaration is objected to	•	mice actic	л.					
	U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	by the Examiner.							
	ledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority u	ndor 35 i	II S C & 110/o	\ (d) or (f)				
)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	roi foreign prionty a	nder 55 (0.5.0. g 119(a)-(u) or (i).				
· <u> </u>	,—	documents have be	en receiv	/ed					
_	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 								
	•			• •		ane.			
	 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowle	dgment is made of a claim f	or domestic priority ι	ınder 35	U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional a	pplication).			
_	translation of the foreign laredgment is made of a claim t								
Attachment(s)									
2) Notice of Drafts	ences Cited (PTO-892) person's Patent Drawing Review (P closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P		5) 🔲 N		(PTO-413) Paper No(s). Patent Application (PTO-				

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Species I in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged.

However, this election is incorrect because Claim 10 is drawn to Species II. Therefore, Claims
1-9 and 11-20 will be examined. Claim 10 is withdrawn from further consideration. If
independent claim 8 is allowed, non-elected claim 10 will be rejoined.

Specification

- 2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- 3. Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

4. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

Art Unit: 3729

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Double Patenting

5. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

6. Claims 1-20 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-20 of copending Application No. 10/035,738. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3729

8. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Butherus et al (US 3,612,955).

Butherus discloses in Figs. 1B and 2 a semiconductor device 59 surrounded with ferromagnetic materials to provide attraction both vertically and laterally.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 8-9 and 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Butherus et al (US 3,612,955) in view of Official Notice.

Butherus teaches the invention as described with respect to the claims above. Fig. 2 shows providing substantially constant amount of current.

Butherus fails to disclose providing ground and power to electronic components and heating either cyclically or variously.

Official Notice is taken that it is well known in the art to provide ground and power to electronic components to energize them and during burn-in testing heat is provide either cyclically or variously to purposely fail the component.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Butherus by providing ground and power to electronic components and heating either cyclically or variously, as taught by Official Notice, for the purpose of energizing the electronic components and failing the components.

Art Unit: 3729

Conclusion

11. Please provide reference numerals to all the claimed limitations as well as support in the disclosure for better clarity. Applicants are duly reminded that a full and proper response to this Office Action that includes any amendment to the claims and specification of the application as originally filed requires that the applicant point out the support for any amendment made to the disclosure, including the claims. See 37 CFR 1.111 and MPEP 2163.06.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rick K. Chang whose telephone number is (703) 308-4784. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 AM to 1:30 PM, Monday through Friday, except for maxi-flex day off (any one of working days).

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

RICHARD CHANG PRIMARY EXAMINES Page 5

RC

July 23, 2003